

# Carbon Trust Options Appraisal for building decarbonisation: Summary of results

2nd November 2021

Author: Ruth Tewungwa

Reviewed by: Will Rivers

# Summary of current building

# DRAYMANS COURT 41 STOCKWELL GREEN SW9 9QE

Domestic	47 Units
Floorspace (m2)	2250
EPC Rating	B-E
Space heating consumption (kWh)	128,383
Cooling consumption (kWh)	0
Water heating consumption (kWh)	48,300
Other electricity use (kWh)	88,320
Annual total fuel bill	£0
Thermal Energy Demand Intensity (kWh per m2 pa)	47
Energy Use Intensity (kWh per m2 pa)	96
Age of construction	1996 - 2002
Windows	Double glazed windows post 2002
Wall	Cavity as built
Roof	Pitched roof with insulation at rafters
Floor	Insulation unknown or as-built
Primary heating	Existing - electric room heaters
Air tightness (ACH @ ambient pressure)	Building regs airtightness (5 n50)
Radiators / emitters	Existing radiators - double panel, single



## **Description of Options for Appraisal**

#### Thermal fabric measures:

This block of flats has relatively good thermal fabric performance, with insulated cavity walls, loft insulation and modern double glazing. No further fabric upgrades were considered for this building.

#### Heating system:

The flats are currently heated by direct electric room heaters. In scenarios 2 - 4 we consider three different electric heating options: Scenario 2: Infra-red panel heaters. Due to the way that radiant panels heat objects rather than the air, infar-red panels can offer greater efficiency than other direct electric heaters. Scenario 3: We considered the impact of installing internal ASHPs in to each flat, with an integrated hot water cylinder and the installation of new radiators.

# Summary of options appraisal measures, costs & CO<sub>2</sub> emissions

	Existing fabric with new electric room heaters	Existing fabric with infra-red panel heaters	Existing fabric with internal ASHP (integrated cylinder)	Existing fabric with HHR storage heaters
HVAC system	57kW New electric room heaters, 0, 0, New electric immersion heater, Hot water cylinder and associated pipework , 0	57kW New infra-red panel heaters , 0, 0, New electric immersion heater, Hot water cylinder and associated pipework	57kW 2.8kW max internal ducted ASHP (integrated cylinder) , 0, 0, hot water from main system (electric), 0	57kW New smart high heat retention storage heaters, 0, 0, New electric immersion heater, Hot water cylinder and associated pipework
	£89,758	£95,230	£260,850	£122,590
Heat emitter and distribution	0,0	0,0	New in flat wet heating distribution pipework, New - Double panel double convector radiators	0,0
	£0	£0	£46,005	£0
Thermal fabric measures installed	,,,	,,,	,,,	,,,
	£0	£0	£0	£0
Air tightness	Natural ventilation , Building regs airtightness (5 n50)	Natural ventilation , Building regs airtightness (5 n50)	Natural ventilation , Building regs airtightness (5 n50)	Natural ventilation , Building regs airtightness (5 n50)
	£0	£0	£0	£0

Total CAPEX	£89,758	£95,230	£306,855	£122,590
Clean Heat Grant	£0	£0	£0	£0
Net CAPEX	£89,758	£95,230	£306,855	£122,590

Electricity tariff	Treasury Green Book Central Domestic Tariff	Treasury Green Book Central Domestic Tariff	Treasury Green Book Central Domestic Tariff	Domestic Economy 7 00:00 - 07:00
Annual fuel bills	£55,894	£49,645	£33,118	£46,422
Annual fuel bills (per flat)	£1,189	£1,056	£705	£988
Annual OPEX (maintenance)	£0	£0	£6,956	£0
30 year total cost of ownership (excluding grant)	£1,931,999	£1,740,451	£1,856,523	£1,690,731
Annual tCO <sub>2</sub> emissions (2021)	75.0	66.6	44.4	77.9
Predicted annual tCO <sub>2</sub> emissions (2030)	34.5	30.6	20.4	35.8
Predicted annual tCO <sub>2</sub> emissions (2050)	1.9	1.6	1.1	1.9

# 30 year total costs of ownership



CAPEX

Scenario 3 (internal ASHPs with integrated cylinder and new radiators) has by far the highest CAPEX with the other three scenarios having broadly similar CAPEX.

#### Fuel bills

Scenario 3 is modelled as having the lowest fuel bills, due to the high efficiency of the heat pump system relative to the other forms of electric heating. Fuel bills in scenario 4 are also lower than BAU due to the lower overnight rate of the Economy 7 tariff. It is possible that bills in scenario 4 could reduce even further in combination with a 'super off-peak' tariff. However, we considered that there may be network constraints associated with an entire block of flats charing storage heaters and hot water cylinder in a short time period between 01:30 and 05:30.

#### 30 year cost of ownership

Scenario 4 has marginally the lowest cost of ownership, with a relatively small increase in CAPEX over BAU off-set by a large reduction in fuel bills.



### Heat loss through thermal elements

# **Energy Consumption kWh pa**



### Heat demand and heating system efficiency

Whilst off-peak electric systems (e.g. scenario 4) are less efficient than heat pump options, they offer significant advantages in adding no additional electricity load at peak times of day. infra-red systems (e.g. scenario 2) offer an efficiency advantage over other on-peak direct heaters because it takes less energy to heat objects in a room than to heat the body of air. However, in practice their application would depend on suitable wall space being available. Also, the extra efficiency does not fully counterbalance the high cost of on-peak electricity tariffs.

	Existing fabric with new electric room heaters	Existing fabric with infra-red panel heaters	Existing fabric with internal ASHP (integrated cylinder)	Existing fabric with HHR storage heaters
Space heating demand (kWh pa)	128,383	128,383	128,383	128,383
Space heating peak demand (kW)	56.6	56.6	56.6	56.6
Space heating peak demand per flat (kW)	1.2	1.2	1.2	1.2
Peak electricity load @ 6:00pm	90.7	74.7	48.0	21.3
Required flow temperatures °C	55	55	50	55
Space heating consumption (kWh pa)	128,383	98,756	49,378	138,792
Cooling consumption (kWh pa)	0	0	0	0
Water heating consumption(kWh pa)	48300	48300	19320	48300
Ventilation (kWh pa)	0	0	0	0
Lighting and auxiliary consumption (kWh pa)	88320	88320	88320	88320
Assumed heating system Seasonal Performance Factor (SPF)	100%	130%	260%	93%
Assumed distribution losses	0%	0%	0%	0%
Space heating Thermal Energy Demand Intensity (kwh per m2 pa)	57	57	57	57
Energy Use Intensity - all energy use (kWh per m2 pa)	96	85	57	100

tCO <sub>2</sub> in 2021	75	67	44	78
Predicted annual tCO <sub>2</sub> emissions (2030)	34.5	30.6	20.4	35.8
tCO <sup>2</sup> in 2050	1.9	1.6	1.1	1.9
tCO <sup>2</sup> cumulative 2021 - 2050	716	636	424	744
tCO <sub>2</sub> saved relative to BAU (30 year cumulative)	0	-80	-292	28
CO <sub>2</sub> saving relative to baseline (30 year cumulative)	0%	11%	41%	-4%
Additional cost over BAU scenario (30 years)	£0	-£191,548	-£75,476	-£241,267
$\pounds$ per tonne of CO <sub>2</sub> reduction (30 year cumulative)	NA	-£2,395	-£259	£8,584

### Retrofit package CO<sub>2</sub> emissions \* negative figures indicate a negative cost of carbon reduction. i.e. the packages of measures reduce 30 year costs and reduce CO2.

# 30 year predicted CO<sub>2</sub> emissions



CO<sub>2</sub> emissions 2021 - 2051 (kgCO<sub>2</sub> pa)

#### CO<sub>2</sub> emissions

All scenarios show significant CO<sub>2</sub> reductions over time due to the forecast decarbonisation of the electricity grid. The heat pump option (scenario 3) show as the largest reductions in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in the immediate term.

Scenario 4 (HHR storage heaters) actually shows an increase in CO<sub>2</sub> emissions relative to the BAU. This is because the modelled efficiency of the HHR storage heaters is lower than the direct electric systems. However, in practice, storage heaters would provide a valuable flexibility service in the context of an overall low carbon energy system. Also, whilst this modelling did not consider different carbon intensities for different times of

## Potential impact of Solar PV on all scenarios

	Existing fabric with new electric room heaters	Existing fabric with infra-red panel heaters	Existing fabric with internal ASHP (integrated cylinder)	Existing fabric with HHR storage heaters
Included in package? (Y/N)	N	Ν	Ν	Ν
System size kW Peak	20.0	20.0	20.0	20.0
System generation kWh pa	19,272	19,272	19,272	19,272
Utilisation on site kWh pa	19260	19260	19260	19258
Utilisation on site kWh pa	100%	100%	100%	100%
Exported to grid kWh pa	12	12	12	14
Assumed system cost £	30000	30000	30000	30000
Net impact on fuel bills £ pa	-£ 4,063	-£ 4,063	-£ 4,063	-£ 4,532

Renewable energy:

For all scenarios, the impact of a PV system was modelled separately. The table above shows the indicative savings on energy consumption and fuel bills that would occur. The relatively high year round use of electricity

# Impact of Solar PV on Scenario 3 - typical summer and winter days



Average July day half hourly consumption & demand profiles (option 3)



Solar generation

00:00:00